arXiv:1604.07923v1 [math.DG] 27 Apr 2016

EXISTENCE, LIFESPAN AND TRANSFER RATE OF RICCI FLOWS ON MANIFOLDS WITH SMALL RICCI CURVATURE

FEI HE

Abstract. We show that in dimension 4 and above, the lifespan of Ricci flows depends on the relative smallness of the Ricci curvature compared to the Riemann curvature on the initial manifold. We can generalize this lifespan estimate to the local Ricci flow, using which we prove the short-time existence of Ricci flow solutions on noncompact Riemannian manifolds with at most quadratic curvature growth, whose Ricci curvature and its first two derivatives are sufficiently small in regions where the Riemann curvature is large. Those Ricci flow solutions may have unbounded curvature. Moreover, our method implies that, under some appropriate assumptions, the spatial transfer rate (the rate at which high curvature regions affect low curvature regions) of the Ricci flow resembles that of the heat equation.

Introduction

In the analysis of various parabolic geometric flows, estimating the lifespan is a fundamental problem which is closely related to the existence and regularity theories. The well-known Ricci flow equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2Ric \tag{0.1}$$

has been extensively studied. The initial value problem of Ricci flow can be solved on complete Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature, and the solution can extend to larger time intervals as long as the curvature remains bounded. Therefore, to estimate the lifespan of the Ricci flow, one only need to control the curvature. The Riemann curvature tensor Rm evolves by a second order parabolic equation under the Ricci flow. When the underlying manifold is compact, the maximum principle implies the so called doubling-time estimate (Lemma 2.1), which states that the spatial supremum of $|Rm|$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm, will not double in a short time interval, whose length is reciprocal to the supremum of $|Rm|$ on the initial manifold.

The doubling-time lower bound gives an effective estimate of the lifespan of Ricci flows. It is actually sharp in form for the shrinking sphere example: $g(t) = (1-2(n-1)t)g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ which becomes a point at $t = \frac{1}{2(n-1)}$. However, this estimate is not sharp when the Ricci curvature is small relative to Rm. Heuristically, if the initial manifold has vanishing Ricci curvature, then the Ricci flow always has a trivial long time solution, no matter how large the curvature is. Starting from a sufficiently small perturbation of the Ricci-flat metric, we shall still expect the solution to have a relatively long lifespan, which the doubling time estimate fails to predict. To deal with this situation, we prove the following version of doubling-time estimate, which features the role played by the Ricci curvature.

Theorem 0.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with dimension n. Suppose there are constants $K > 0$ and $A \geq 0$, such that $\sup_M |Rm| \leq K$, and $\sup_M |\nabla^m Ric| \leq (n-1)K^{1+\frac{m}{2}} e^{-AK}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$. Let T_d be the first time that $\sup_M |Rm|$ becomes $2K$ under the Ricci flow starting from (M, g), then*

$$T_d \geq c(n) \max\{A, \frac{1}{K}\},$$

where $c(n)$ is a constant depending only on n.

Remark 0.2. Since the Ricci curvature controls Rm in dimension 2 and 3, the above theorem is new only in dimension $n \geq 4$.

When the Ricci curvature is very small relative to Rm, we can take A large and Theorem 0.1 implies a long lifespan. In particular on Ricci-flat manifolds we can take $A = \infty$, hence $T_d = \infty$. On the other hand, when the Ricci curvature dominates we can still make the assumption true by choosing $A = 0$ and K large enough. We prove Theorem 0.1 in section 2.1. The key idea is to control the temporal growth of $|Rm|$ at each point directly by the second derivative of Ric. This idea has also been implemented in a different way in the recent work of Kotschwar, Munteanu and Wang [11], where they established an explicit upper bound of $|Rm|$ in terms of its initial value, a uniform bound of $|Ric|$ in a space-time neighbourhood, and the elapsed time. However, their estimate loses sharpness when the Ricci curvature is small, hence it does not serve our purpose. Curvature and derivative estimates based on the space-time bound of $|Ric|$ have also been obtained by Chen in [3], where an injectivity lower bound or a pinching condition was also required on a time interval. Our results in this paper only need assumptions on the initial manifolds.

To make this lifespan estimate more useful, we shall restrict the smallness assumption on Ric and its covariant derivatives only to regions where Rm is large. In section 2.2, we will show lifespan estimates (Proposition 2.8, 2.11) for Ricci flows starting from compact Riemannian manifolds satisfying some good covering conditions, which generalize Theorem 0.1.

With some more efforts we can estimate the lifespan of the local Ricci flow (3.1) introduced by Deane Yang [20], see Proposition 3.9 in section 3.2. This estimate serves as a key ingredient to establish the following existence result for the Ricci flow on complete manifolds with potentially unbounded curvature, which will be proved in section 3.3.

Definition 0.3. For any point x in a Riemannian manifold, we define ρ_x to be the largest number such that

$$\sup_{B(x,\rho_x)} |Rm| \leq \frac{1}{\rho_x^2},$$

$$\sup_{B(x,\rho_x)} |\nabla^m Ric|(x) \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho_x^{2+m}}, \quad m = 1, 2.$$

Clearly ρ_x always exists and $\rho_x > 0$ for any point x in a smooth Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 0.4. *Let (M, g) be a compete Riemannian manifold with dimension n. Let ρ_x be as in Definition 0.3. Suppose there are constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$, such that for any $x, y \in M$, we have*

(i) $|\nabla^m Ric|(x) \leq (n-1)\rho_x^{-2-m} e^{\beta-\alpha\rho_x^{-2}}$ *for* $m = 0, 1, 2$,
(ii) $|\rho_x^{-2} - \rho_y^{-2}| \leq \gamma$ *when* $d(x, y) < \rho_x + \rho_y$.
Then there exists a complete solution of the Ricci flow $g(t)$, $t \in [0, T_0]$, *with* $g(0) = g$, *where* $T_0 > 0$ *depends only on* n, α, β, γ. *Moreover,* $(M, g(t))$ *is equivalent to* (M, g) *and has at most quadratic curvature growth.*

Remark 0.5. Assumption (ii) implies that the initial manifolds in Theorem 0.4 can have at most quadratic curvature growth, in that case, so will the solutions for a short time.

Remark 0.6. The condition $|\nabla^m Ric|(x) \leq (n-1)\rho_x^{-2-m} e^{\beta-\alpha\rho_x^{-2}}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$, is trivial when $\rho_x \geq \sqrt{\alpha/\beta}$. Therefore the smallness of the Ricci curvature is only assumed on highly curved regions.

Existence of Ricci flow solutions on noncompact manifolds was first proved by Shi in [14], where the curvature is assumed to be uniformly bounded. Under weaker curvature conditions and some noncollapsing assumptions, short-time existence has been proved by Xu [19], Simon [16] and Hochard [9], where the curvature may be unbounded initially, but becomes uniformly bounded instantaneously for any positive time. See also the existence result of Chau, Li and Tam in [2] for the Kähler Ricci flow. Solutions with unbounded curvature for positive time have been obtained by Cabezas-Rivas and Wilking in [1], where the manifolds are assumed to have nonnegative complex sectional curvature. And by Giesen and Topping in [6] for 2 dimensional manifolds. In general, the existence of Ricci flow solutions with unbounded curvature is a very subtle problem, one can refer to [18] for a survey. On one hand the existence is expected to be not true in general, a possible counter-example is a sequence of spheres connected by thinner and thinner necks, which should be pinched immediately under the flow. On the other hand, since the Ricci flow is such a natural geometric equation, it'll be interesting to know what initial manifolds admit a short-time solution.

The solutions provided by Theorem 0.4 may also have unbounded curvature for positive time, as pointed out by Remark 0.5. We would also like to point out that the uniqueness of Ricci flows with quadratic curvature growth and some other mild assumptions has been established by Kotschwar in [10]. It's easy to check that our solutions satisfy these conditions.

In section 3.3 we will prove the existence of Ricci flows on manifolds satisfying a good covering condition (2.4), see Theorem 3.15. Our approach is to take limit of a sequence of local Ricci flows with domains exhausting the entire manifold, this strategy has also been applied in [19] and [20]. The main difficulty in the analysis of the local Ricci flow is that higher order derivatives of the cut-off function appears in evolution equations of the curvatures, and need to be controlled. In section 3.1 we establish some derivative estimates for the local Ricci flow, which may be of independent interests.

During the proof of lifespan estimates we establish barrier function upper bounds for the norm of the Ricci curvature and its first two derivatives, which also imply an estimate for the spatial 'transfer rate' – a term borrowed from heat conduction – of the Ricci flow. Recall that the heat transfer rate reflects how fast (or slow) lower temperature regions are affected by higher temperature regions. As implied by the fundamental solution of the heat equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$, it decays at the rate of e^{-d^2}, where d is the distance to the origin. Since the Ricci curvature tensor can be

viewed as the Laplacian of the metric tensor, it is reasonable to expect the following Ricci flow analogue, which is proved in section 4.

Theorem 0.7. *Suppose (M, g) is a noncompact manifold satisfying*

$$|Rm|(x) \leq (1 + d(x, p))^2,$$

$$|\nabla^m Ric|(x) \leq (1 + d(x, p))^{2+m} \exp(-\alpha(1 + d(x, p))^2), \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

for all $x \in M\backslash\Omega_0$, where Ω_0 is a compact subset, $p \in M$ is a fixed point, α is a positive constant and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the distance function. Then there is a complete solution of the Ricci flow on $M \times [0, T_1]$ with initial metric g, whose Ricci curvature has decay:

$$|\nabla^m Ric|(x) \leq C_1(1 + d(x, p))^{2+m} \exp(-C_2(1 + d(x, p))^2), \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

for $(x, t) \in M\backslash\Omega_0 \times [0, T_1]$, where the constants C_1, C_2 and $T_1 > 0$ depend on Ω_0, n and α.

Remark 0.8. It is easy to see that the temporal growth of the geometry under the solution in Theorem 0.7 has exponential decay, more precisely,

$$|\ln(\frac{g(x, t)}{g(x, 0)})| \leq C_1 \exp(-C_2(1 + d(x, p))^2),$$

$$||Rm|(x, t) - |Rm|(x, 0)| \leq C_1(1 + d(x, p))^2 \exp(-C_2(1 + d(x, p))^2),$$

for any $(x, t) \in M\backslash\Omega_0 \times [0, T_1]$ and some constants C_1, C_2.

A interesting particular case is described by the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 0.9. *Suppose a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is Ricci-flat outside of a compact domain Ω, and has at most quadratic curvature growth. Then (M, g) admits a short-time solution of the Ricci flow, whose Ricci curvature has spatial decay at the rate of e^{-d^2}, where d is the distance to Ω with respect to g.*

Similar decay estimates for Ricci flows with bounded curvature coming out of Ricci-flat cones have been proved by Siepmann [15] and Deruelle [5].

Finally we would like to point out that parallel results can be proved by the same method for the normalized Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2(Ric - \lambda g), \quad \lambda = Const,$$

where the smallness assumption should be imposed on the tensor $Ric - \lambda g$ instead of Ric.

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to Prof. Jiaping Wang for very helpful conversations. He would also like to thank Prof. Robert Gulliver for his interest in this work.

1. Implications of bounded $\nabla^2 Ric$

By the second Bianchi identity and the commutation formula for covariant derivatives, we can calculate directly that

$$\Delta R_{ijkl} = Q(Rm)_{ijkl} + \nabla_k \nabla_i R_{jl} - \nabla_k \nabla_j R_{il} + \nabla_l \nabla_j R_{ik} - \nabla_l \nabla_i R_{jk}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $Q(Rm)$ is a quadratic term. This equation allows us to estimate the first derivative of Rm in terms of bounds on $|Rm|$ and $|\nabla^2 Ric|$. In the following, we use $B_g(p, r)$ to denote a geodesic ball with radius r, centered at a point p on a complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g).

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose $|Rm|(x) \le C_0$ and $|\nabla^2 Ric|(x) \le C_0$, for all $x \in B_g(p,1)$, then there is a constant C_1 depending on C_0 and the dimension n, such that $|\nabla Rm|(x) \le C_1$ for any $x \in B_g(p, \frac{1}{4})$.*

Proof. Equation (1.1) implies

$$\Delta \nabla Rm = Rm * \nabla Rm + \nabla^3 Ric, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\nabla^3 Ric$ denotes a linear combination of 4 terms of third order derivative of Ric. Here and in the following we use $*$ to denote a contraction by the Riemannian metric.

Let ϕ be a cut-off function compactly supported in $B_g(p,1/2)$ which equals 1 in $B_g(p,1/4)$, and satisfies $|\nabla\phi| \le 8$. Multiply both sides of (1.2) by $\phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2}\nabla Rm$ and integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^2 Rm|^2 + (p-1)\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla |\nabla Rm||^2 \\
=&\int 2\phi |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla\phi * \nabla Rm * \nabla^2 Rm + \int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} Rm * \nabla Rm * \nabla Rm \\
&+ \int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla Rm * \nabla^3 Ric.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate by parts again to get rid of $\nabla^3 Ric$ in the last term,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla Rm * \nabla^3 Ric \\
=&2\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^2 Rm * \nabla^2 Ric - \int 2\phi |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla\phi * \nabla Rm * \nabla^2 Ric \\
&- (2p-2)\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-3} \nabla |\nabla Rm| * \nabla Rm * \nabla^2 Ric.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and standard absorbing technique imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^2 Rm|^2 + (p-1)\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla |\nabla Rm||^2 \\
\le& C\int_{B_g(p,1)} |\nabla Rm|^{2p} + Cp\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^{2p-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on n and C_0. The Sobolev inequality (1.3) and the Nash-Moser iteration technique yield

$$\sup_{B_g(p,1/4)} |\nabla Rm|^2 \le C(n, C_0)\left(1 + \frac{1}{Vol_g(B_g(p,1))}\int_{B_g(p,1/2)} |\nabla Rm|^2\right).$$

Then the claimed result follows from Lemma 1.2. □

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose $|Rm|(x) \le C_0$ and $|\nabla^2 Ric|(x) \le C_0$ for all $x \in B_g(p,1)$, then there is a constant C_2 depending on C_0 and the dimension n, such that $\int_{B_g(p,1/2)} |\nabla Rm|^2 \le C_2 Vol_g(B_g(p,1))$.*

Proof. Equation (1.1) implies

$$\Delta |Rm|^2 = 2|\nabla Rm|^2 + 2\langle Rm, Rm * Rm + \nabla^2 Ric\rangle.$$

For any cut-off function ϕ compactly supported in $B_g(p,1)$,

$$2\int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^2 \le \int \phi^2 \Delta |Rm|^2 + C(n)\int \phi^2 (|Rm|^3 + |Rm||\nabla^2 Ric|).$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int \phi^2 \Delta |Rm|^2 =& -4\int \phi |Rm| \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla |Rm| \rangle \\ &\leq \int \phi^2 |\nabla Rm|^2 + 4\int |\nabla \phi|^2 |Rm|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is finished by choosing $\phi = 1$ on $B_g(p, 1/2)$ and $|\nabla \phi| \leq 2$. □

The Sobolev inequality used in the Nash-Moser iteration is provided by the well-known result due to Saloff-Coste [13], which we state here for reference in the following sections.

Lemma 1.3 (Saloff-Coste)**.** *Suppose $n > 2$ and $Ric \geq -(n-1)Kg$ on $B_g(p, r)$, where $K > 0$ is a constant. Then there is a constant C depending only on n, such that*

$$\left(\int |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq e^{C(1+\sqrt{K}r)} \frac{r^2}{Vol_g(B_g(p,r))^{2/n}} \int (|\nabla f|^2 + r^{-2} f^2), \tag{1.3}$$

for any $W^{1,2}$ function f compactly supported in $B_g(p, r)$.

In the following sections of this paper, we need cut-off functions with bounded higher order (up to three) derivatives. A key ingredient is the smooth distance-like function with higher derivative bounds constructed by Tam [17], see also [4].

Lemma 1.4 (L.-F. Tam)**.** *Let $B(p, r)$ be a geodesic ball properly embedded in an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold (M, g), suppose $r \geq 1$. There exist constants C_0 and C_1 depending only on n, such that if $\sup_{B(p,r)} |Rm| \leq 1$ and $\sup_{B(p,r)} |\nabla Rm| \leq 1$, then there is a function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with the following bounds on $B(p, 2r/3)$:*

(i) $d_g(x, p) + 1 \leq f(x) \leq d_g(x, p) + C_0$;

(ii) $\sup_M |\nabla^m f(x)| \leq C_1$, $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. The idea is to evolve a smooth distance-like function constructed in [7] by the heat equation. We refer to Proposition 26.49 and Remark 26.50 in [4] for a complete proof when $r = \infty$. Note that the heat kernel upper bound used in this proof can be obtained locally, and the bounds on $|Rm|$ and $|\nabla Rm|$ are also used locally to estimate higher derivatives of f. Therefore we can simply cut off the initial data on $B(p, r)$ and convolute with a Dirichlet heat kernel, then the same proof as in [4] yields the lemma. □

The desired cut-off function is constructed in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $B(r)$ be a geodesic ball with radius r in a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) with dimension n. Suppose $\sup_{B(r)} |Rm| \leq K$, $\sup_{B(r)} |\nabla Rm| \leq K^{3/2}$, and $r \geq \bar{r}/\sqrt{K}$, where $\bar{r} = 4C_0$ for the same constant C_0 in Lemma 1.4. Then there is a smooth function $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ compactly supported in $B(r)$, with $\phi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(r/4)$, and $\sup_{B(r)} |\nabla^m \phi| \leq C K^{m/2}$, $m = 1, 2, 3$, where C depends only on n.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq \psi(s) \leq 1$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi(s) = 1$ when $s \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $\psi(s) = 0$ when $s > \frac{1}{3}$, and $|\psi'(s)| + |\psi''(s)| + |\psi'''(s)| \leq 100$ for all s. Let f be the smooth distance-like function constructed in Lemma 1.4 on $B(r)$ with the rescaled

metric $\tilde{g} = Kg$. Define $\phi(x) = \psi((\sqrt{K}r + \bar{r})^{-1} f(x))$. One can easily check that ϕ is compactly supported on $B(r)$ and $\phi(x) = 1$ for any $x \in B(r/4)$, and

$$|\nabla^m_{\tilde{g}} \phi(x)|_{\tilde{g}} \leq C(n), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \quad x \in B(r).$$

By the scaling property of covariant derivatives, we have

$$|\nabla^m_g \phi(x)|_g \leq C(n) K^{m/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \quad x \in B(r).$$

□

2. Lifespan of Ricci flows on compact manifolds

2.1. **Uniformly small Ricci curvature.** Under the Ricci flow, the Riemann curvature tensor evolves by a parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Rm = \Delta Rm + Rm * Rm. \tag{2.1}$$

When the manifold is compact, the maximum principle implies the doubling-time estimate which plays a fundamental role in the analysis of the Ricci flow:

Lemma 2.1 (Hamilton [8])**.** *Let $g(t)$ be a Ricci flow solution on a compact manifold M with dimension n. Let T_d be the first time that $\sup_{M\times[0,T_d)} |Rm| = 2\sup_M |Rm|(0)$, then $T_d \geq \frac{c(n)}{\sup_M |Rm|(0)}$, where $c(n)$ is a constant depending only on the dimension.*

Theorem 0.1 can be viewed as an improved version of the above result of Hamilton. Although it can be implied by the more general Proposition 2.11 in the following subsection, we would like to present its proof here as a more economic illustration of how the smallness of the Ricci curvature is used.

Basic ingredients in the proof are the well-known Shi's estimate, and it's modified version which is stated here as a lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (Lu-Tian [12])**.** *For any $\alpha, K > 0$, and any integers $n \geq 2, l \geq 0, m \geq 1$, there exists a constant C depending only on α, n, l and m such that if M is a manifold of dimension n, $p \in M$, and $g(t), t \in [0, T]$, $0 < T < \frac{\alpha}{K}$, is a solution to the Ricci flow on an open neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ containing $\bar{B}_{g(0)}(p, r)$, $0 < r < \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{K}}$, and if*

$$|Rm|(x, t) \leq K \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \mathcal{U} \times [0, T],$$

and

$$|\nabla^i Rm|(x, 0) \leq K^{1+\frac{i}{2}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{U}, \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq l,$$

then

$$|\nabla^m Rm|(y, t) \leq \frac{C K^{1+\min\{m,l\}/2}}{t^{(m-l)_+/2}}$$

for all $(y, t) \in B_{g(0)}(p, \frac{r}{2}) \times (0, T]$.

Recall that in Theorem 0.1 we have uniform curvature assumptions that $\sup_M |Rm| \leq K$ and $|\nabla^m Ric| \leq K^{1+m/2} e^{-AK}$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$, where $A \geq 0$. And we use T_d to denote the first time that $\sup_M |Rm|(t)$ becomes $2K$.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. We proof this theorem in three steps.
Step 1: Control the first two derivatives of Rm.

By Lemma 1.1, $\sup_M |\nabla Rm|(0) \leq C(n) K^{3/2}$.

For $t < 1/K$, and any $x \in M$, the modified Shi's estimate 2.2 implies that

$$|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \leq C(n)K^{3/2},$$

and

$$|\nabla^2 Rm|(x,t) \leq \frac{C(n)K^{3/2}}{t^{1/2}}.$$

For $1/K \leq t \leq T_d$, we can apply Shi's estimate on the time interval $(t-1/K, t)$ to get

$$|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \leq C(n)K^{3/2},$$

and

$$|\nabla^2 Rm|(x,t) \leq C(n)K^2,$$

for any $x \in M$.

Step 2: Control Ric and its first two derivatives.

Along the Ricci flow, the Ricci curvature evolves by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Ric = \Delta Ric + Rm * Ric. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Ric| \leq \Delta |Ric| + C_1 K |Ric|,$$

as long as $t \leq T_d$, where C_1 depends only on n. By the maximum principle we can estimate

$$\sup_M |Ric|(t) \leq \sup_M |Ric|(0) e^{C_1 K t} \leq K e^{C_1 K t - AK}.$$

The first derivative of the Ricci curvature evolves by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla Ric = \Delta \nabla Ric + Rm * \nabla Ric + \nabla Rm * Ric. \tag{2.3}$$

For $t \leq T_d$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla Ric| \leq \Delta |\nabla Ric| + C_2 K |\nabla Ric| + C_2 K^{5/2} e^{C_1 K t - AK},$$

where C_2 depends only on n. The maximum principle implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_M |\nabla Ric|(t) \leq & (\sup_M |\nabla Ric|(0) + C_2 C_1^{-1} K^{3/2} e^{C_1 K t - AK}) e^{C_2 K t} \\ \leq & C_3 K^{3/2} e^{C_3 K t - AK},\end{aligned}$$

for some C_3 depending only on n.

The second derivative of the Ricci curvature evolves by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^2 Ric = \Delta \nabla^2 Ric + Rm * \nabla^2 Ric + \nabla Rm * \nabla Ric + \nabla^2 Rm * Ric. \tag{2.4}$$

For $t \leq 1/K$, we have, for a constant C_4 depending only on n, that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla^2 Ric| \leq & \Delta |\nabla^2 Ric| + C_4 K |\nabla^2 Ric| + C_4 K^3 e^{C_3 K t - AK} \\ & + \frac{C_4 K^{5/2}}{t^{1/2}} e^{C_1 K t - AK}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^{1/K} \frac{C_4 K^{5/2}}{t^{1/2}} e^{C_1 K t - AK} dt \leq 2 C_4 e^{C_1} K^2 e^{-AK},$$

we can use the maximum principle as before to show that

$$\sup_M |\nabla^2 Ric|(t) \le C_5 K^2 e^{C_5 Kt - AK},$$

for some constant C_5 depending only on n.

For $1/K \le t \le T_d$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla^2 Ric| \le \Delta|\nabla^2 Ric| + C_4 K|\nabla^2 Ric| + C_4 K^3 e^{C_3 Kt - AK} + C_4 K^3 e^{C_1 Kt - AK}.$$

Apply the maximum principle with the initial value

$$\sup_M |\nabla^2 Ric|(1/K) \le C_5 K^2 e^{C_5 - AK},$$

we can find a constant C_6 depending only on n, such that

$$\sup_M |\nabla^2 Ric|(t) \le C_6 K^2 e^{C_6 Kt - AK}, \quad t \in [1/K, T_d].$$

Therefore we can take $C_7 = \max\{C_5, C_6\}$ to get a uniform estimate of $|\nabla^2 Ric|$,

$$\sup_M |\nabla^2 Ric|(t) \le C_7 K^2 e^{C_7 Kt - AK}, \quad t \in [0, T_d].$$

Step 3: Control Rm by Ric and $\nabla^2 Ric$

Under the Ricci flow, the Riemman curvature tensor evolves by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R^l_{ijk} =& -\nabla_i \nabla_k R^l_j + \nabla_i \nabla^l R_{jk} + \nabla_j \nabla_k R^l_i - \nabla_j \nabla^l R_{ik} \\ &+ g^{lp}(R^q_{ijk} R_{pq} + R^q_{ijp} R_{kq}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm| \le 6|Rm||Ric| + 4|\nabla^2 Ric|$$

at any point $x \in M$. Hence by Gronwall's inequality we have a pointwise estimate

$$|Rm|(t) \le \left(|Rm|(0) + 4\int_0^t |\nabla^2 Ric|\right) \exp(6\int_0^t |Ric|).$$

By the estimates in Step 2, we have

$$\int_0^t |Ric| \le e^{-AK}(e^{C_1 Kt} - 1),$$

and

$$\int_0^t |\nabla^2 Ric| \le K e^{-AK}(e^{C_7 Kt} - 1).$$

Hence

$$\sup_M |Rm|(t) \le K(1 + 4e^{-AK}(e^{C_7 Kt} - 1)) \exp(6e^{-AK}(e^{C_1 Kt} - 1)).$$

Let $\alpha = \min\{\frac{\ln(4/3)}{6}, \frac{1}{8}\}$, then the following Lemma 2.3 implies that for any $t < \frac{\alpha A}{(\alpha+1)(C_1+C_7)}$ which is independent of K, we have

$$t < \frac{\ln(\alpha e^{AK} + 1)}{(C_1 + C_7)K},$$

hence

$$e^{-AK}(e^{C_1 Kt} - 1) < \frac{\ln(4/3)}{6},$$

and

$$e^{-AK}(e^{C_7Kt}-1)<\frac{1}{8},$$

then

$$\sup_M|Rm|(t)<K(1+\frac{1}{2})\frac{4}{3}=2K.$$

Therefore we must have $T_d\geq\frac{\alpha A}{(\alpha+1)(C_1+C_7)}$. Together with Lemma 2.1, this lower bound yields the claimed result in the theorem. □

It is elementary to prove the following:

Lemma 2.3. *For positive constants $\alpha,\beta>0$, let*

$$h(\alpha,\beta)(s)=\frac{\ln(\alpha e^{\beta s}+1)}{s},\quad s\in(0,\infty).$$

Then

$$\inf_{s\in(0,\infty)}h(\alpha,\beta)(s)\geq\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha+1}.$$

2.2. **Non-uniform smallness of the Ricci curvature.** The uniform smallness assumption on the Ricci curvature in Theorem 0.1 is very restrictive, for example, it would force a complete Riemannian manifold with unbounded curvature to be Ricci-flat. Fortunately, we can still obtain a lower estimate of the lifespan of Ricci flows when their initial data satisfies the following assumption, where the Ricci curvature and its first two derivatives are assumed to be small only in regions where $|Rm|$ is large. This assumption can be implied by the conditions in Theorem 0.4 and 0.7. Though lengthy, it is actually convenient to use in our arguments.

Assumption 2.4. (a) M is covered by geodesic balls $B_i=B_g(x_i,r_i)$, $i=1,2,3,...N$, where N is finite when M is compact and $N=\infty$ when M is noncompact. We denote $\hat{B}_i=B_g(x_i,16r_i)$.

(b) Let $\bar{r}=4C_0$, where C_0 is the same constant as in Lemma 1.4, which depends only on n. For each $\hat{B}_i$, there is a positive number $K_i\geq\max\{\bar{r}^2/r_i^2,1\}$, such that $\sup_{\hat{B}_i}|Rm|\leq K_i$.

(c) There are positive constants A and $\bar{K}$, such that for each $\hat{B}_i$, $\sup_{\hat{B}_i}|\nabla^m Ric|\leq K_i^{m/2}P_i$, $m=0,1,2$, where $P_i=(n-1)K_ie^{A(\bar{K}-K_i)}$.

(d) There is a positive constant Γ, such that if $\hat{B}_j\cap\hat{B}_i\neq\emptyset$, then $K_j\leq K_i+\Gamma$.

(e) The number of $\hat{B}_i$'s intersecting simultaneously is at most $I<\infty$.

A few remarks are at hand:

Remark 2.5. Assumption (c) is trivial if $\sup_{\hat{B}_i}|Rm|\leq\bar{K}$ and $\sup_{\hat{B}_i}|\nabla^m Ric|\leq(n-1)\bar{K}^{1+m/2}$, $m=0,1,2$, in which case we can take $K_i=\max\{\bar{K},1\}$.

Remark 2.6. Consider a chain of connected balls $\hat{B}_i$, $i=1,2,...,k,...$, the curvature upper bound grows linearly in k along this chain, while the distance grows roughly as the partial sum $\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{\sqrt{i}}$, which diverges at the rate of $\sqrt{k}$. Hence $|Rm|$ is allowed to have quadratic growth when M is noncompact.

Remark 2.7. Under Assumption 2.4, the manifold actually has uniformly bounded Ricci curvature $|Ric|\leq(n-1)A^{-1}e^{A\bar{K}-1}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold satisfying Assumption 2.4. Then there is a constant T_0 depending only on n, I, Γ, A and $\bar{K}$, such that the lifespan of the Ricci flow solution on M with initial metric g is greater than T_0. Moreover,*

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |Rm|_{g(t)} \leq 2(1+\Gamma)K_i \text{ for any } t \in [0, T_0] \text{ and } i = 1, 2, ..., N.$$

The proof of Proposition 2.8 is omitted since it can be viewed as a corollary of Proposition 3.9, which states the same result for the local Ricci flow. Indeed, we only need to take the cut-off function $\chi \equiv 1$ in Proposition 3.9. We would like to point out that in order to control the Ricci curvature, we need to construct barrier functions by gluing up local information on each $\hat{B}_i$, thus we need assumptions (d) and (e) to control the growth of the geometry.

From the proof of Proposition 3.9, we see that the constant T_0 in Proposition 2.8 can be written as $C(n, I, \Gamma)e^{-A\bar{K}-7A\Gamma}A$. However, larger constant A in Assumption 2.4 implies smaller Ricci curvature, hence we should expect longer lifespan of the solutions. Therefore the exponential term $e^{-A\bar{K}-7A\Gamma}$ is undesirable. The next proposition shows that it can be avoided under slightly stronger assumptions:

Assumption 2.9. Instead of (c) and (d) in Assumption 2.4, we assume

(c′) For each $\hat{B}_i$, $\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |\nabla^m Ric| \leq K_i^{m/2} P_i$, $m = 0, 1, 2$, where $P_i = (n-1)K_i \exp(-AK_i)$, and $A \geq 0$.

(d′) If $\hat{B}_j \cap \hat{B}_i \neq \emptyset$, then $K_j \leq K_i + \Gamma \min\{\frac{1}{A}, 1\}$.

Remark 2.10. If $A = 0$, we can always make (c′) ture by choosing K_i large enough.

Proposition 2.11. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold satisfying Assumption 2.9. Then there exists a constant $c(n, \Gamma)$ depending on n and Γ, such that the lifespan of the Ricci flow solution on M with initial metric g is greater than*

$$T_0 = \frac{c(n,\Gamma)}{I} \max\left\{A, \frac{1}{\max_{1\leq i\leq N}\{K_i\}}\right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |Rm|_{g(t)} \leq 2(1+\Gamma)K_i \text{ for any } t \in [0, T_0] \text{ and } i = 1, 2, ..., N.$$

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 3.9, the term $e^{A\Gamma}$ is only introduced when we compare P_i and P_j; and the term $e^{A\bar{K}}$ is only introduced when directly using $P_i = (n-1)K_i e^{A\bar{K}-AK_i}$. These can be clearly avoided under the new assumptions. (See also Remark 3.14.) □

3. Existence of Ricci flows on non-compact manifolds

3.1. **Derivative estimates for Rm and Ric under the locall Ricci flow.** Let $(M, g(t))$ be a solution of the local Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g = -2\chi^2 Ric, \tag{3.1}$$

where χ is a non-negative smooth function supported on a compact domain Ω. In this section we prove some useful regularity estimates for the local Ricci flow. For convenience, we first fix the metrics on a large scale so the curvatures are bounded, then we can easily clarify the dependence on the curvature by scaling arguments.

In this and the following subsection, we will make use of the following evolution equations and inequalities under the local Ricci flow, which have been computed in [19]. For any integer $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^m Rm =&\chi^2\Delta\nabla^m Rm + \sum_{i+j+k=m+2,\ k\leq m+1} \nabla^i\chi * \nabla^j\chi * \nabla^k Rm \\ &+ \sum_{i+j+k=m} \nabla^i(\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Rm.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

And for any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla^m\chi| \leq C(n,m) \sum_{k\leq m-1} |\nabla^k(\chi^2 Ric)||\nabla^{m-k}\chi|. \tag{3.3}$$

In the following lemmas we assume bounds on the covariant derivatives of χ in a space-time region. Later, when we apply them to prove existence of the Ricci flow, we will construct cut-off functions satisfying these bounds.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m \geq 1$, and let $0 < R, T \leq \alpha$. Suppose there is a constant C_0 such that*

$$\chi|Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad \chi^i|\nabla^i Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1;$$

$$|\nabla^j\chi|_{g(t)}(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1;$$

for any $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T]$. And assume that the following Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_{g(0)}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \leq C_S \int (|\nabla f|^2_{g(0)} + f^2) d\mu_{g(0)}$$

holds for any $W^{1,2}$ function $f \geq 0$ compactly supported on $B_{g(0)}(q,R)$. Then

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,\frac{R}{2})\times[\frac{T}{2},T]} \chi^m|\nabla^m Rm| \leq CC_S^{\frac{n}{4}}(\frac{1}{R^2}+\frac{1}{T})^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \| \max\{\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|, 1\}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}$$

for some constant C depending on α, C_0, n and m, where Ω_T denotes the cylinder $B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T]$.

Proof. For any $0 < r \leq \frac{R}{2}$ and $0 < s, \tau \leq \frac{T}{2}$, choose C^1 functions $0 \leq \psi, \eta \leq 1$, such that

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_{g(0)}(q,r); \\ 0, & x \notin B_{g(0)}(q, r+\delta); \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t < s; \\ 1, & t \geq s+\tau; \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla\psi|^2_{g(0)} \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2}\psi, \quad |\eta'| \leq \frac{2}{\tau}$$

on their supports. Let $\phi(x,t) = \eta(t)\psi(x)$, then we have $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and

$$|\nabla\phi|^2_{g(0)} \leq \frac{4}{\delta^2}\phi, \quad |\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}| \leq \frac{2}{\tau}$$

on the support of ϕ.

Since $\chi|Rm|(x,t) \le C_0$ for all $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(q,R) \times [0,T]$, and $T \le \alpha$, the Riemannian metric remains uniformly equivalent on $B_{g(0)}(q,R)$ for all $0 \le t \le T$:

$$e^{-2n\alpha C_0} g(0) \le g(t) \le e^{2n\alpha C_0} g(0).$$

Hence

$$|\nabla \phi|_{g(t)}(x,t)^2 \le \frac{C}{\delta^2}\phi(x,t),$$

for any $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(q,R) \times [0,T]$. Here and in the following we use C to denote a constant whose value may change from line to line but will only depend on α, C_0, n and m.

For any $p \ge 1$, multiply both sides of equation (3.2) by $\phi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Rm$, and integrate on $B_{g(0)}(q,R)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \phi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \langle \nabla^m Rm, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^m Rm \rangle \\
= &\int \phi^2 \chi^{2pm+2} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \langle \Delta \nabla^m Rm, \nabla^m Rm \rangle \\
&+ \int \phi^2 \chi^{2pm+1} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^{m+2} \chi * Rm \\
&+ \sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k \le m+1} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^i \chi * \nabla^j \chi * \nabla^k Rm \\
&+ \sum_{i+j+k=m} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^i (\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Rm \\
= &\ I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Apply integration by parts on I_1 will yield the good terms containing the $(m+1)$-th derivative of Rm.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 = &\int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \langle \Delta \nabla^m Rm, \nabla^m Rm \rangle \\
\le &-\int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|^2 \\
&-\frac{p-1}{2} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
&+2 \int \phi \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla \phi| |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|. \\
&+(2pm+2) \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+1} |\nabla \chi| |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm| \\
\le &-\frac{3}{4} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|^2 \\
&-\frac{p-1}{2} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
&+8 \int (\chi^{2mp+4} |\nabla \phi|^2 + (mp+1)^2 \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla \chi|^2) |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can integrate by parts to get rid of the $(m+2)$-th derivative of χ in I_2 and I_3. The $(m+1)$-th derivative of Rm produced in this process can be absorbed

by the good term in I_1.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}\nabla^m Rm * \nabla^{m+2}\chi * Rm \\
&\leq C(n)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||\nabla Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm||\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)(p-1)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-3}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2||\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)\int \phi\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla\phi||\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)(2mp+1)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla\chi||\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Rm| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(p-1)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
&\quad +C(n)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||\nabla Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)\int (\phi|\nabla\phi|\chi+(2mp+1)\phi^2|\nabla\chi|)\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)p\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+2}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi|^2|Rm|^2|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k\leq m+1}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}\nabla^m Rm * \nabla^i\chi * \nabla^j\chi * \nabla^k Rm \\
&\leq C(n)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla\chi||\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm| \\
&\quad +C(n)\sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k\leq m}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^i\chi||\nabla^j\chi||\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^k Rm| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
&\quad +C(n)\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}(\chi^2|\nabla\chi|^2+\chi|\nabla^2\chi|+|\nabla\chi|^2)|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} \\
&\quad +C(n)\sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k\leq m-1}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^i\chi||\nabla^j\chi||\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}|\nabla^k Rm|
\end{aligned}$$

I_4 can be simply estimated by the Cauchy inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \sum_{i+j+k=m} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^i(\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Rm \\ &\leq C(n) \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |Rm| |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} \\ &\quad + C(n) \sum_{i+j+k=m;\ j,k\leq m-1} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^i(\chi^2)| |\nabla^j Rm| |\nabla^k Rm| |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions of the lemma, we can replace the lower order ($\leq m-1$) derivatives of Rm, and lower order ($\leq m+1$) derivatives of χ by their corresponding bounds.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq -\frac{3}{4} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{p-1}{2} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\ &\quad + C(p^2 + \frac{1}{\delta^2}) \int \phi \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}(p-1) \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\ &\quad + C(p + \frac{1}{\delta}) \int \phi \chi^{2mp-1} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} + Cp \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Rm|^2 \\ &\quad + C \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} + C \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp-m} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$I_4 \leq C \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} + C \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp-m} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1}.$$

Using the above estimates we can control the time derivative of spatial integrals of $\chi |\nabla^m Rm|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} &= 2p \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \langle \nabla^m Rm, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^m Rm \rangle \\ &\quad + p \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} Ric * \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^m Rm \\ &\quad + 2 \int \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} - \int \phi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} S \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\leq\ & -\frac{p}{2}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
& -\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
& +C(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2}+\frac{1}{\tau})\int \phi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} \\
& +C(p^2+\frac{p}{\delta})\int \phi\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} \\
& +Cp^2\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Interpolate using the Cauchy inequality

$$\int \phi\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-1} \leq \int \phi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} + \int \phi\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}.$$

Then integrate on $[0,t]$ for each $t\in(0,T]$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}(t) + \frac{p}{2}\int_0^T\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
& +\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^T\int \phi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
\leq\ & C(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2}+\frac{1}{\tau})\int_0^T\int \phi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} \\
& +C(p^2+\frac{p}{\delta})\int_0^T\int \phi\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} \\
\leq\ & C(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2}+\frac{1}{\tau})\int_0^T\int \phi u^{2p},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$u=\max\{\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|,1\}.$$

By the equivalence of metrics, the Sobolev inequality holds for any time $t\in[0,T]$ with a possibly larger Sobolev constant $e^{C(n)C_0\alpha}C_S$. Apply the Sobolev inequality to ϕu^p, and note that $|\nabla u|\leq|\nabla(\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|)|$ in the sense of distribution, we get from the above inequality that

$$\left(\int (\phi u^p)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_S Q,$$

where

$$Q \ =\ \bar{C}(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2}+\frac{1}{\tau})\int_0^T\int \phi u^{2p},$$

$\bar{C}$ depends only on n,m,α and C_0. Then the Holder inequality implies

$$\int_0^T\int (\phi u^p)^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}} \leq \int_0^T \left(\int (\phi u^p)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}\left(\int \phi^2 u^{2p}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq C_S Q^{\frac{n+2}{n}}.$$

Hence

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2p(n+2)}{n}}(r,s+\tau)} \leq C_S^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}} \bar{C}^{\frac{1}{2p}} p^{\frac{3}{2p}} \max\{\frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2p}}}\} \|u\|_{L^{2p}(r+\delta,s)},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(r,s)}$ denotes the L^q-norm on $B_{g(0)}(q,r)\times[s,T])$.

Choose $p_i = (\frac{n+2}{n})^i$, $r_0 = R$, $\delta_i = \frac{1}{2^{i+2}}R$, $r_{i+1} = r_i - \delta_i$, $s_0 = 0$, $\tau_i = \frac{1}{2^{i+2}}T$, $s_{i+1} = s_i + \tau_i$, where $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Iteration of the above inequality yields the lemma. □

If we assume an initial bound of $|\nabla^m Rm|_{g(0)}$, we can get the following modified version of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose in addition to the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, we also have*

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,R)} \chi^m |\nabla^m Rm|_{g(0)} \leq C_1.$$

Then

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,\frac{R}{2})\times[0,T]} \chi^m |\nabla^m Rm| \leq \frac{C C_S^{\frac{n}{4}}}{R^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \max\left\{\|\max\{\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|, 1\}\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}, \sqrt{V}C_1\right\},$$

where $V = Vol_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(q,R))$, Ω_T denotes the cylinder $B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T]$, and C is a constant depending only on α, C_0, C_S, n, m,

Proof. We only need to modify the proof of Lemma 3.1. Use ψ in (3.4) to be the cut-off function. Note that ψ is independent of t. We can apply the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} =& 2p\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}\langle\nabla^m Rm, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^m Rm\rangle \\
&+ p\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2} Ric * \nabla^m Rm * \nabla^m Rm \\
\leq& -\frac{p}{2}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
&- \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
&+ C(p^3 + \frac{p}{\delta^2})\int \psi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p} \\
&+ C(p^2 + \frac{p}{\delta})\int \psi\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate on $[0,T]$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}(t) + \frac{p}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Rm|^2 \\
&+\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Rm|^2|^2 \\
\leq\ & \int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}(0) + C(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2})\int_0^T\int \psi u^{2p},
\end{aligned}$$

where $u = \max\{\chi|\nabla^m Rm|, 1\}$.

Apply Holder and Sobolev inequalities as in the previous proof, we get

$$\int_0^T \int (\phi u^p)^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}} \leq C_S Q^{\frac{n+2}{n}},$$

where

$$Q = C(p^3 + \frac{p}{\delta^2}) \int_0^T \int \psi u^{2p} + C \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Rm|^{2p}(0).$$

Let

$$F(r,p) := \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2p}(B_{g(0)}(q,r)\times[0,T])}, \ V(r)^{\frac{1}{2p}} C_1 \right\},$$

where $V(r) = Vol_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(q,r))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q \leq & C(p^3 + \frac{p}{\delta^2}) F(r+\delta,p)^{2p} + CF(r+\delta,p)^{2p} \\ \leq & Cp^3 \frac{1}{\delta^2} F(r+\delta,p)^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2p(n+2)}{n}}(B_{g(0)}(q,r)\times[0,T])} \leq C_S^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}} C^{\frac{1}{2p}} p^{\frac{3}{2p}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{p}} F(r+\delta,p).$$

Apply the Sobolev inequality with the test function ψ, we get

$$V(r)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_S (\frac{1}{\delta^2}(V(r+\delta) - V(r)) + V(r+\delta)).$$

WLOG we can assume that $\delta < R < 1$, otherwise we can cover $B_{g(0)}(q,R)$ by geodesic balls with radius 1 and work on each smaller ball instead. Then

$$V(r)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \frac{2C_S}{\delta^2} V(r+\delta),$$

and

$$V(r) = V(r)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} V(r)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq \frac{2C_S}{\delta^2} V(r+\delta)^{\frac{n+2}{n}},$$

hence

$$V(r)^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}} C_1 \leq (2C_S)^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{p}} F(r+\delta,p).$$

Therefore

$$F(r, \frac{(n+2)p}{n}) \leq C_S^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}} \bar{C}^{\frac{1}{2p}} p^{\frac{3}{2p}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{p}} F(r+\delta,p),$$

for some constant $\bar{C}$ depending on α, C_0, n and m. Then we can iterate this inequality to finish the proof. □

Now we show how to obtain L^2 control of $\chi^m |\nabla^m Rm|$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $m \geq 1$, $0 < R, T \leq \alpha$. Suppose there is a constant C_0 such that*

$$\chi^i |\nabla^i Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., m-1;$$

$$|\nabla^j \chi|_{g(t)}(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., m+1;$$

for all $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(q,2R) \times [0,T]$. Then

$$\|\chi^m |\nabla^m Rm|\|_{L^2(B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T])} \leq C \sqrt{Vol_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(q,2R))},$$

where C depends on α, C_0, n and m.

Proof. Choose a C^1 function $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, such that

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in B_{g(0)}(q, R); \\ 0, & x \notin B_{g(0)}(q, 2R); \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla \psi|^2_{g(0)} \leq \frac{4}{R^2}\psi$$

on its support. Using the evolution equation of $\nabla^{m-1}Rm$, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2 \\
=&\int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} \langle \nabla^{m-1} Rm, \chi^2 \Delta \nabla^{m-1} Rm \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\leq m} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} \langle \nabla^{m-1} Rm, \nabla^i \chi * \nabla^j \chi * \nabla^k Rm \rangle \\
&+ \sum_{i+j+k=m-1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} \langle \nabla^{m-1} Rm, \nabla^i (\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Rm \rangle \\
\leq& - \int \psi^2 \chi^{2m} |\nabla^m Rm|^2 + \int |\nabla(\psi^2 \chi^{2m})| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm| |\nabla^m Rm| \\
&+ \int \psi^2 \chi^{2m-1} |\nabla \chi| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm| |\nabla^m Rm| \\
&+ C(n) \sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\leq m-1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^i \chi| |\nabla^j \chi| |\nabla^k Rm| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm| \\
&+ C(n) \sum_{i+j+k=m-1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^i (\chi^2)| |\nabla^j Rm| |\nabla^k Rm| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy inequality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2 \leq -\frac{1}{4}\int \psi^2 \chi^{2m} |\nabla^m Rm|^2 + I,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I =& \frac{8}{R^2}\int \psi \chi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2 + 2\int \psi^2 |\nabla \chi|^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2 \\
&+ \int \psi^2 \chi^{2m-2} |\nabla \chi|^2 |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2 \\
&+ C(n) \sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\leq m-1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^i \chi| |\nabla^j \chi| |\nabla^k Rm| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm| \\
&+ C(n) \sum_{i+j+k=m-1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^i (\chi^2)| |\nabla^j Rm| |\nabla^k Rm| |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate on $[0, T]$, we get

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_0^T \int \psi^2 \chi^{2m} |\nabla^m Rm|^2 \leq \int \psi^2 \chi^{2(m-1)} |\nabla^{m-1} Rm|^2(0) + \int_0^T I dt.$$

Then the result easily follows from the assumptions of the lemma. □

By lemma 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and scaling arguments, we can prove the following:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m \geq 1$, $K > 0$, and let $0 < R \leq \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{K}}, 0 < T \leq \frac{\alpha}{K}$. Suppose there is a constant C_0 such that*

$$\chi^i |\nabla^i Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0 K^{1+\frac{i}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1;$$

$$|\nabla^j \chi|_{g(t)}(x,t) \leq C_0 K^{\frac{j}{2}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1;$$

for any $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(q,2R) \times [0,T]$. Then

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,\frac{R}{2})\times[\frac{T}{2},T]} \chi^m |\nabla^m Rm| \leq C(\frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{1}{T})^{\frac{n+2}{4}} K^{\frac{2m+2-n}{4}},$$

for some constant C depending on α, C_0, n and m.

Proof. Let $\tilde{g} = Kg$. Then $Ric(\tilde{g}(0)) \geq -C_0 \tilde{g}(0)$. The Sobolev inequality comes from Lemma 1.3. The rest of the proof is a direct application of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.5. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 3.4, and assume in addition that*

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,2R)} \chi^m |\nabla^m Rm|_{g(0)} \leq C_1 K^{\frac{m+2}{2}},$$

where $C_1 \geq 1$. Then

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,\frac{R}{2})\times[0,T]} \chi^m |\nabla^m Rm| \leq C R^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} K^{\frac{2m+2-n}{4}},$$

where C depends on α, C_0, C_1, n and m.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.4. □

In the remainder of this subsection, we will assume that Rm is bounded up to the m-th derivative, then we will derive derivative estimates of Ric. The point is, Ric and its derivatives may be much smaller compared to Rm. Similar to (3.2), we can compute that the Ricci curvature and its covariant derivatives evolve by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\nabla^m Ric =& \chi^2 \Delta \nabla^m Ric + \sum_{i+j+k=m+2,\ k\leq m+1} \nabla^i \chi * \nabla^j \chi * \nabla^k Ric \\ &+ \sum_{i+j+k=m} \nabla^i(\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Ric, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where the integer $m \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $m \geq 1$, and let $R > 0, T > 0$. Suppose there are constants C_0, C_1, C_2 such that*

$$\chi |Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad \chi^i |\nabla^i Rm|(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m;$$

$$|\nabla^j \chi|_{g(t)}(x,t) \leq C_0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1;$$

$$\chi |Ric|(x,t) \leq C_1, \quad \chi^k |\nabla^k Ric|(x,t) \leq C_1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m-1;$$

and

$$\chi^m |\nabla^m Ric|(x,0) \leq C_1;$$

for any $x \in B_{g(0)}(q,R)$ and $t \in [0,T]$. Assume that the following Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu_{g(t)} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \leq C_S \int (|\nabla f|^2_{g(t)} + f^2) d\mu_{g(t)}$$

holds for any $W^{1,2}$ function $f \geq 0$ compactly supported on $B_{g(0)}(q,R)$. And assume that

$$Vol_{g(t)}B_{g(0)}(q,r) \leq C_2 V_r, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad 0 < r \leq R,$$

where $V_r = Vol_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(q,r))$. Then

$$\sup_{B_{g(0)}(q,\frac{R}{2})\times[0,T]} \chi^m |\nabla^m Ric| \leq C_S^{\frac{n}{4}} \frac{C\max\{T,1\}}{R^2} \max\left\{ \|\chi^m |\nabla^m Ric|\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)},\ \sqrt{V_R} C_1 \right\}$$

for some constant C depending on C_0, C_2, n and m, where $\Omega_T = B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T]$.

Proof. Using the same ψ as in (3.4), we can calculate similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \psi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \langle \nabla^m Ric, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla^m Ric \rangle \\
= & \int \psi^2 \chi^{2pm+2} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \langle \Delta \nabla^m Ric, \nabla^m Ric \rangle \\
& + \int \psi^2 \chi^{2pm+1} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Ric * \nabla^{m+2} \chi * Ric \\
& + \sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k\leq m+1} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Ric * \nabla^i \chi * \nabla^j \chi * \nabla^k Ric \\
& + \sum_{i+j+k=m} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2pm} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \nabla^m Ric * \nabla^i (\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Ric \\
= & I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 can be handled similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, except that we have to keep track of C_1 whenever we use the bound $\chi^k |\nabla^k Ric| \leq C_1$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 = & \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \langle \Delta \nabla^m Ric, \nabla^m Ric \rangle \\
\leq & -\frac{3}{4} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Ric|^2 \\
& -\frac{p-1}{2} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2 \\
& +8 \int (\chi^{2mp+4} |\nabla \psi|^2 + (mp+1)^2 \psi^2 \chi^{2mp+2} |\nabla \chi|^2) |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} \\
\leq & -\frac{3}{4} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} |\nabla^{m+1} Ric|^2 \\
& -\frac{p-1}{2} \int \psi^2 \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4} |\nabla |\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2 \\
& +C(n,m,C_0)(p^2 + \frac{1}{\delta^2}) \int \psi \chi^{2mp} |\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int \psi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}\nabla^m Ric * \nabla^{m+2}\chi * Ric \\
&\le \frac{1}{4}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Ric|^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(p-1)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2 \\
&\quad +C(n)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp+1}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||\nabla Ric| \\
&\quad +C(n)\int (\psi|\nabla\psi|\chi + (2mp+1)\psi^2|\nabla\chi|)\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi||Ric| \\
&\quad +C(n)p\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp+2}|\nabla^{m+1}\chi|^2|Ric|^2|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2} \\
&\le \frac{1}{4}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Ric|^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(p-1)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2 \\
&\quad +C(n,C_0)C_1\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1} \\
&\quad +C(n,m,C_0)C_1\int \psi\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1} \\
&\quad +C(n,C_0)pC_1^2\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \sum_{i+j+k=m+2;\ i,j,k\le m+1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}\nabla^m Ric * \nabla^i\chi * \nabla^j\chi * \nabla^k Ric \\
&\le \frac{1}{4}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Ric|^2 \\
&\quad +C(n,C_0)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} \\
&\quad +C(n,m,C_0)C_1\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= \sum_{i+j+k=m}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}\nabla^m Ric * \nabla^i(\chi^2) * \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^k Ric \\
&\le C(n)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp+2}|Rm||\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} \\
&\quad +C(n)\sum_{i+j+k=m;\ k\le m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^i(\chi^2)||\nabla^j Rm||\nabla^k Ric||\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1} \\
&\le C(n,C_0)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} + C(n,m,C_0)C_1\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1} \\
&\le C(n,m,C_0)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} + C(n,m,C_0)C_1^2\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-2m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second last inequality we have used the assumptions of the lemma, in particular $\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm| \le C_0$.

Similar as in the previous proofs we can integrate to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}(t) + \frac{p}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Ric|^2 \\
&+\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2 \\
\le&\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}(0) + C(p^3+\frac{p}{\delta^2})\int_0^T\int \psi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} \\
&+C(p^2+\frac{1}{\delta})C_1^2\left(\int_0^T\int \psi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(V_{r+\delta}T)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\le& CV_{r+\delta}TC_1^{2p} + C\frac{p^4}{\delta^2}\int_0^T\int \psi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}
\end{aligned}$$

where $V_r = Vol_{g(0)}(B_{g(0)}(q,r))$, the constant C depends on n, m, C_0, and the last inequality follows from Young's inequality. Then the Sobolev inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\chi|\nabla^m Ric|\|_{L^{\frac{2(n+2)p}{n}}(r)} \le& C_S^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}}(\frac{p^4}{\delta^2}\max\{T,1\})^{\frac{1}{2p}}\|\chi|\nabla^m Ric|\|_{L^{2p}(r+\delta)} \\
&+ C_S^{\frac{n}{2p(n+2)}}(\frac{p^4}{\delta^2}\max\{T,1\})^{\frac{1}{2p}}V_r^{\frac{1}{2p}}C_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$F(r,p) = \max\{\|\chi|\nabla^m Ric|\|_{L^{2p}(r)},\ V_r^{\frac{1}{2p}}C_1\}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar as for Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.7. *If we assume $\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|(t) \le \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{t}}$ instead of the uniform bound in Lemma 3.6, and other conditions remain the same. Then the conclusion of Lemma 3.6 still holds for $T \le \tau_0$, where τ_0 is a constant depending only on n, m and C_0.*

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.6, the only place where we used the bound of $\chi^m|\nabla^m Rm|$ is in the estimate of I_4. Under the new assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 \le& C(n)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp+2}|Rm||\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} \\
&+C(n)\sum_{i+j+k=m;\ k\le m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^i(\chi^2)||\nabla^j Rm||\nabla^k Ric||\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1} \\
\le& C(n,C_0)\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p} + C(n,m,C_0)C_1t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

When integrated on $[0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T I_4 \leq & C(n,C_0)\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}\\ &+C(n,m,C_0)C_1\sqrt{T}\sup_{0<t\leq T}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp-m}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-1}(t)\\ \leq & C(n,C_0)\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}+C(n,m,C_0,C_2)C_1^{2p}\sqrt{T}V_{r+\delta}.\\ &+C(n,m,C_0)\sqrt{T}\sup_{0<t\leq T}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where we used Young's inequality to get the last inequality.

If $C(n,m,C_0)\sqrt{T}<\frac{1}{2}$, then we can use the left hand side to absorb the last term and show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}(t)+\frac{p}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-2}|\nabla^{m+1}Ric|^2\\ &+\frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p-4}|\nabla|\nabla^m Ric|^2|^2\\ \leq & CV_{r+\delta}C_1^{2p}+C\frac{p^4}{\delta^2}\int_0^T\int \psi\chi^{2mp}|\nabla^m Ric|^{2p}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the same arguments as before yields the lemma. □

Similar to Lemma 3.3, we can control the L^2 norm of higher order covariant derivatives of the Ricci curvature tensor using lower order information.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $m\geq 1$, $R>0, T>0$. Suppose there are constant C_0, C_1, such that*

$$\chi^i|\nabla^i Rm|(x,t)\leq C_0,\quad i=0,1,...,m-1;$$

$$|\nabla^j\chi|_{g(t)}(x,t)\leq C_0,\quad j=1,2,...,m+1;$$

$$\chi^k|\nabla^k Ric|(x,t)\leq C_1,\quad k=0,1,...,m-1;$$

$$Vol_{g(t)}B_{g(0)}(q,2R)\leq V;$$

for all $(x,t)\in B_{g(0)}(q,2R)\times[0,T]$. Then

$$\|\chi^m|\nabla^m Ric|\|_{L^2(B_{g(0)}(q,R)\times[0,T])}\leq CC_1\sqrt{(1+\frac{T}{R^2}+TC_0)V},$$

where C depends on n and m.

Proof. Choose C^1 functions $0\leq\psi\leq 1$, such that

$$\psi(x)=\begin{cases}1, & x\in B_{g(0)}(q,R);\\ 0, & x\notin B_{g(0)}(q,2R);\end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla\psi|^2_{g(0)}\leq\frac{4}{R^2}\psi$$

on its support. Using (3.5) and integration by parts we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2\\
=&\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}\langle\nabla^{m-1}Ric,\chi^2\Delta\nabla^{m-1}Ric\rangle\\
&+\sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\le m}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}\langle\nabla^{m-1}Ric,\nabla^i\chi*\nabla^j\chi*\nabla^kRic\rangle\\
&+\sum_{i+j+k=m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}\langle\nabla^{m-1}Ric,\nabla^i(\chi^2)*\nabla^jRm*\nabla^kRic\rangle\\
\le&-\int \psi^2\chi^{2m}|\nabla^mRic|^2+\int|\nabla(\psi^2\chi^{2m})||\nabla^{m-1}Ric||\nabla^mRic|\\
&+\int \psi^2\chi^{2m-1}|\nabla\chi||\nabla^{m-1}Ric||\nabla^mRic|\\
&+C(n,m)\sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\le m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^i\chi||\nabla^j\chi||\nabla^kRic||\nabla^{m-1}Ric|\\
&+C(n,m)\sum_{i+j+k=m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^i(\chi^2)||\nabla^jRm||\nabla^kRic||\nabla^{m-1}Ric|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy inequality

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2\le-\frac{1}{4}\int \psi^2\chi^{2m}|\nabla^mRic|^2+I,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I=&\frac{8}{R^2}\int \psi\chi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2+2\int \psi^2|\nabla\chi|^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2\\
&+\int \psi^2\chi^{2m-2}|\nabla\chi|^2|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2\\
&+C(n,m)\sum_{i+j+k=m+1,\ k\le m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^i\chi||\nabla^j\chi||\nabla^kRic||\nabla^{m-1}Ric|\\
&+C(n,m)\sum_{i+j+k=m-1}\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^i(\chi^2)||\nabla^jRm||\nabla^kRic||\nabla^{m-1}Ric|.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrate on $[0,T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4}\int_0^T\int \psi^2\chi^{2m}|\nabla^mRic|^2\le&\int \psi^2\chi^{2(m-1)}|\nabla^{m-1}Ric|^2(0)+\int_0^T I\,dt\\
\le&VC_1^2+VTC(n,m)(\frac{1}{R^2}+C_0)C_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. **Lifespan estimate for the local Ricci flow.**

Proposition 3.9. *Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold satisfying Assumption 2.4, and let $g(t)$ be a solution of the local Ricci flow $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g=-2\chi^2Ric$ starting from (M,g), where the smooth function $0\le\chi\le1$ is compactly supported with $|\nabla^m_{g(0)}\chi|(x)\le C(n,I)K_i^{1+m/2}$ for $x\in\hat{B}_i$, $m=1,2,3$, $i=1,2,...,N$.*

Then the lifespan of $g(t)$ is greater than a constant T_0 depending only on $n, A, \bar{K}$ and I. More precisely, T_0 bounds from below the following generalized doubling time in Definition 3.10.

Definition 3.10. We define the generalized doubling time T to be the largest number such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\hat{B}_i\times[0,T]}|Rm| &\leq 2(1+\Gamma)K_i,\\ \int_0^T \sup_{\hat{B}_i}|Ric| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\ln 2,\\ \int_0^T \sup_{\hat{B}_i}\chi|\nabla Ric| &\leq \sqrt{K_i},\\ \int_0^T \sup_{\hat{B}_i}\chi^2|\nabla^2 Ric| &\leq K_i,\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, ..., N$.

By Lemma 1.1 we have $|\nabla Rm|_{g(0)}(x) \leq C(n)K_i^{3/2}$ for $x \in B_{g(0)}(x_i, 15r_i) \subset \hat{B}_i$. Hence by Lemma 1.5 we can construct a cut-off function ϕ_i on each $\hat{B}_i$, which equals 1 on B_i, and has three bounded derivatives:

$$|\nabla^m \phi_i|_{g(0)} \leq C(n)K_i^{\frac{m}{2}}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3.$$

Lemma 3.11. *For any $x \in \hat{B}_i$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$|\nabla^m \chi|(x, t) \leq C(n, I)K_i^{\frac{m}{2}}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3,$$

and

$$|\nabla^2 \phi_i|(x, t) \leq C(n)K_i.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \hat{B}_i$, by the assumption of Proposition 3.9,

$$|\nabla^m \chi|(x, 0) \leq C(n, I)K_i^{\frac{m}{2}}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla\chi| &\leq C(n)\chi^2|Ric||\nabla\chi|,\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla^2\chi| &\leq C(n)\chi^2|\nabla Ric||\nabla\chi| + C(n)\chi|Ric||\nabla\chi|^2 + \chi^2|Ric||\nabla^2\chi|,\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla^3\chi| \leq& C(n)\left(\chi^2|\nabla^2 Ric||\nabla\chi| + |Ric||\nabla\chi|^3 + \chi^2|\nabla Ric||\nabla^2\chi|\right)\\ &+ C(n)\left(\chi|\nabla Ric||\nabla\chi|^2 + \chi|Ric||\nabla\chi||\nabla^2\chi| + \chi^2|Ric||\nabla^3\chi|\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then the lemma follows from Gronwall's inequality and the integral bounds in Definition 3.10.

$|\nabla^2\phi_i|$ is estimated similarly. □

By Definition 3.10, we have uniformly equivalent metrics on the time interval $[0, T]$:

$$\frac{1}{2}g(x, 0) \leq g(x, t) \leq 2g(x, 0), \quad x \in M,\ t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.6}$$

Now we can apply Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, which play the roles of Shi's estimate and modified Shi's estimate for the Ricci flow, to show the following derivative estimates for Rm on each B_i.

Lemma 3.12. *There is a constant C_0 depending only on n, Γ and I, such that*
(i) $\chi|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \le C_0 K_i^{3/2}$, for all $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$;
(ii) $\chi^2|\nabla^2 Rm|(x,t) \le \frac{C_0 K_i^{3/2}}{\sqrt{t}}$ for $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0, \frac{1}{K_i}]$, and $\chi^2|\nabla^2 Rm|(x,t) \le C_0 K_i^2$ for $(x,t) \in B_i \times [\frac{1}{K_i}, T]$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, $|\nabla Rm|$ is initially bounded. Then by Lemma 3.5 and 3.11 we have

$$\chi|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \le C(n, I, \Gamma) K_i^{3/2}$$

for $(x,t) \in B_{g(0)}(x_i, 4r_i) \times [0, \frac{1}{K_i}]$.

By the equivalence of metrics (3.6), $B_{g(t)}(x_i, 8r_i) \subset \hat{B}_i$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. Therefore $|Rm| \le 2(1+\Gamma)K_i$ on $B_{g(\tau)}(x_i, 8r_i)$ for all $\tau \in [0,T]$. Hence by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\chi|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \le \frac{C(n, I, \Gamma) K_i}{(t-\tau)^{1/2}},$$

for $(x,t) \in B_{g(\tau)}(x_i, 6r_i) \times (\tau, \tau + \frac{1}{K_i}]$, where $\tau \in [0, T - \frac{1}{K_i}]$. In particular,

$$\chi|\nabla Rm|(x,t) \le C(n, I, \Gamma) K_i^{3/2},$$

for all $t \in [\frac{1}{K_i}, T]$ and $x \in B_{g(0)}(x_i, 3r_i)$. Now we have verified (i). We can prove (ii) similarly using Lemma 3.4. □

Then we can prove the following estimates of the Ricci curvature and its first two covariant derivatives.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose the initial manifold (M, g) satisfies Assumption 2.4, then there are constants C and Λ depending on n, Γ, I and A, such that if $T \le \frac{A}{\Lambda}$, then*

$$\chi^m |\nabla^m Ric|(x,t) \le C K_i^{\frac{m}{2}} P_i e^{\Lambda K_i t}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

for $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$.

Proof. STEP 1: Control $|Ric|$ and $|\nabla Ric|$.

By (3.5), the Ricci curvature tensor satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Ric = \chi^2 \Delta Ric + \chi \nabla \chi * \nabla Ric + \chi \nabla^2 \chi * Ric + \nabla \chi * \nabla \chi * Ric + \chi^2 Rm * Ric.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Ric|^2 \le & \chi^2 \Delta |Ric|^2 - 2\chi^2 |\nabla Ric|^2 + C(n)\chi|\nabla\chi||\nabla Ric||Ric| + C(n)\chi|\nabla^2\chi||Ric|^2 \\ & + C(n)|\nabla\chi|^2|Ric| + \chi^2|Rm||Ric|^2 + 4\chi^2|Ric|^3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.11, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Ric|^2 \le \chi^2 \Delta |Ric|^2 + C_1 K_i |Ric|^2,$$

which holds on B_i for some constant C_2 depending on n, Γ and I.

Let Ω be the support of χ, and assume that Ω is covered by $B_i, i = 1, 2, ..., N$. Define

$$\Phi(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i(x) P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t),$$

where Λ_0 is a constant to be determined, ϕ_i are the cut-off functions in Lemma 3.11.

Recall that $P_i = (n-1)K_i e^{A\bar{K}-AK_i}$. Since $1 \leq K_j \leq K_i + \Gamma$, it is easy to check that $P_j \leq (1+\Gamma)e^{A\Gamma}P_i$ when $\hat{B}_j \cap \hat{B}_i \neq \emptyset$.

If $T \leq \frac{A}{\Lambda_0}$, then for any $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$ we have the following pointwise estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x,t) &= \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} \phi_j P_j^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_j t) \\ &\leq \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} (1+\Gamma)^2 e^{2A\Gamma} P_i^2 e^{\Lambda_0 \Gamma t} \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t) \\ &\leq C_3 I P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t),\end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = (1+\Gamma)^2 e^{3A\Gamma}$. By Lemma 3.11 we have $|\Delta\phi_i|(x,t) \leq C_2 K_i$ for some C_2 depending only on n, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\Phi(x,t) &= \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} \Delta\phi_j(x,t) P_j^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_j t) \\ &\leq \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} C_2 K_j P_j^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_j t) \\ &\leq \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} C_2 (K_i + \Gamma) P_j^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 (K_i + \Gamma) t) \\ &\leq (1+\Gamma)^3 I C_2 e^{3A\Gamma} K_i P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Phi(x,t) &= \sum_{\hat{B}_j \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} \phi_j(x,t) P_i^2 \Lambda_0 K_i \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t) \\ &\geq \Lambda_0 K_i P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t)\end{aligned}$$

Take

$$\Lambda_0 \geq C_1 C_3 I + (1+\Gamma)^3 I C_2 e^{3A\Gamma},$$

then

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \chi^2\Delta)\Phi(x,t) \geq C_1 C_3 I K_i P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_0 K_i t) \geq C_1 K_i \Phi(x,t). \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \chi^2\Delta)(|Ric|^2 - \Phi)(x,t) \leq C_1 K_i (|Ric|^2 - \Phi)(x,t).$$

Since $B_i, i = 1, 2, ..., N < \infty$ cover Ω, we have

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \chi^2\Delta)(|Ric|^2 - \Phi) \leq C_1 (\sum_i^N \phi_i K_i)(|Ric|^2 - \Phi),$$

holds on Ω.

By Assumption 2.4,

$$|Ric|^2(x,0) \leq \Phi(x,0), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

And since χ is compactly supported on Ω, the metric does not change near the boundary and outside of Ω, hence

$$|Ric|^2(x,t) = |Ric|^2(x,0) \leq \Phi(x,0) \leq \Phi(x,t), \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Hence the maximum principle implies

$$|Ric|^2(x,t) \leq \Phi(x,t),$$

for all $(x,t) \in M \times [0,T]$. In particular, when $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$, we have

$$|Ric|(x,t) \leq \sqrt{C_3 I} P_i \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0 K_i t).$$

And when $x \in \hat{B}_i$ it must be covered by some B_j with $\hat{B}_j \cap \hat{B}_i \neq \emptyset$, so

$$|Ric|(x,t) \leq \sqrt{C_3 I}(1+\Gamma)e^{2A\Gamma} P_i \exp(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0 K_i t),$$

as long as $t \leq T$.

Similarly we have a differential inequality for the first covariant derivative of the Ricci curvature tensor on B_i:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\nabla Ric|^2 \leq \chi^2 \Delta |\nabla Ric|^2 + C_4 K_i |\nabla Ric|^2 + C_4 K_i^2 |Ric|^2,$$

where C_4 depends on n, I and Γ.

Let

$$\Phi_1(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i K_i P_i^2 \exp(\Lambda_1 K_i t),$$

as before we can find a constant $\Lambda_1 = C(n,\Gamma,I) I e^{3A\Gamma}$ (WLOG we choose $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_0$), such that if $T \leq \frac{A}{\Lambda_1}$ then we have

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \chi^2 \Delta)\Phi_1(x,t) \geq C_4 K_i \Phi_1(x,t) + C_4 K_i^2 \Phi(x,t),$$

for all $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$. Hence the same argument for controlling $|Ric|$ yields

$$|\nabla Ric|^2(x,t) \leq \Phi_1(x,t).$$

In particular,

$$|\nabla Ric|(x,t) \leq C(n,\Gamma,I) e^{2A\Gamma} \sqrt{I} K_i^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i e^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 K_i t},$$

when $(x,t) \in B_i \times [0,T]$. And

$$|\nabla Ric|(x,t) \leq C(n,\Gamma,I) e^{4A\Gamma} \sqrt{I} K_i^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i e^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 K_i t},$$

when $(x,t) \in \hat{B}_i \times [0,T]$.

STEP 2: Control $\chi^2 |\nabla^2 Ric|$.

Lemma 3.12 provides control of $|\nabla Rm|$ and $|\nabla^2 Rm|$, STEP 1 provides control of $|Ric|$ and $|\nabla Ric|$ in $\hat{B}_i \times [0,T]$. The integral bound on $|Ric|$ in Definition 3.10 implies

$$Vol_{g(t)} B_{g(0)}(x_i, r) \leq C(n) V(r), \quad t \in [0,T],$$

where $V(r) = Vol_{g(0)} B_{g(0)}(x_i, r)$, $r < 16 r_i$.

WLOG we can assume $r_i \leq 2/\sqrt{K_i}$, otherwise we can cover B_i with finitely many balls with radius $2/\sqrt{K_i}$ and work on each smaller ball. Then Lemma 1.3 and the equivalence of metrics (3.6) imply a uniform Sobolev inequality on the time interval $[0,T]$:

$$\left(\int |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C(n) \frac{r_i^2}{Vol_{g(0)}(\hat{B}_i)} \int (|\nabla f|^2_{g(t)} + r_i^{-2} f^2) d\mu(t),$$

for f compactly supported on $\hat{B}_i$.

We can use Lemma 3.8 to prove

$$\|\chi^2|\nabla^2 Ric|\|_{L^2(B_{g(0)}(x_i,2r_i)\times[0,t])} \le C(n,\Gamma,I)e^{4A\Gamma}\sqrt{(1+T)V(2r_i)}K_iP_i\exp(\Lambda_1 K_i t).$$

Then Lemma 3.6 and 3.7 imply that

$$\sup_{B_i\times[0,t]}\chi^2|\nabla^2 Ric| \le C(n,\Gamma,I)e^{4A\Gamma}\max\{A,1\}^2K_iP_i\exp(\Lambda_1K_it),$$

where $0\le t\le T\le \frac{A}{\Lambda_1}$. □

Remark 3.14. $\max\{A,1\}^2$ in the above estimate can be absorbed by the exponential term since $\max\{A,1\}^2e^{-AK_i}\le 4e^{-AK_i/2}$.

Now we can prove the main proposition of this subsection.

Proof of Proposition 3.9. Under the local Ricci flow, the Riemann curvature tensor evolves by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}R^l_{ijk} =& -\nabla_i\nabla_k(\chi^2R^l_j)+\nabla_i\nabla^l(\chi^2R_{jk})+\nabla_j\nabla_k(\chi^2R^l_i)-\nabla_j\nabla^l(\chi^2R_{ik})\\ &+\chi^2g^{lp}(R^q_{ijk}R_{pq}+R^q_{ijp}R_{kq}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.11, at a point $(x,t)\in B_i\times[0,T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|Rm| \le& 8(\chi|\nabla^2\chi|+|\nabla\chi|^2)|Ric|+8\chi|\nabla\chi||\nabla Ric|+4\chi^2|\nabla^2Ric|\\ &+4\chi^2|Rm||Ric|\\ \le& C_1(K_i|Ric|+\sqrt{K_i}\chi|\nabla Ric|+\chi^2|\nabla^2Ric|),\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where C_1 depends on n, I.

Suppose $x\in B_i$ and $t\le T\le\frac{A}{\Lambda_1}$, by Lemma 3.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\chi^m|\nabla^m Ric|(x,\sigma)d\sigma \le& \int_0^T CK_i^{m/2}P_i\exp(\Lambda_1K_i\sigma)d\sigma\\ =& CK_i^{m/2}e^{A\bar K-AK_i}\Lambda_1^{-1}(e^{\Lambda_1K_iT}-1),\end{aligned}$$

where $m=0,1,2$. By Lemma 2.3, $f(s)=h(\frac{\Lambda_1\epsilon}{Ce^{A\bar K}},A)(s)$ has a minimum $f_{min}>0$, which can be estimated from below by a constant in the form $C(n,I,\Gamma)e^{-A\bar K-4A\Gamma}A\epsilon$.

If $T<f_{min}/\Lambda_1$, then direct calculation yields

$$\int_0^t\chi^m|\nabla^m Ric|(x,\sigma)d\sigma<\epsilon K_i^{m/2},\quad m=0,1,2,\tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{B_i}|Rm|(t) \le& \sup_{B_i}|Rm|(0)+C_1\int_0^t(K_i|Ric|+\sqrt{K_i}\chi|\nabla Ric|+\chi^2|\nabla^2Ric|)\\ \le& (1+3C_1\epsilon)K_i,\end{aligned}$$

when $t\le T$.

For any $x\in\hat B_i$, it must be covered by some B_j such that $\hat B_j\cap\hat B_i\neq\emptyset$, hence the above inequalities still hold if we multiple the right hand sides by $1+\Gamma$. Therefore, if we take

$$\epsilon=\min\{\frac{1}{2}\ln 2,\frac{1}{9C_1},\frac{1}{1+\Gamma}\},$$

then the integral bounds in Definition 3.10 are satisfied with sharp inequalities. Therefore we must have $T \geq T_0 = f_{min}/\Lambda_1$. Keeping track of the constants, we see that $T_0 = C(n, I, \Gamma)e^{-A\bar{K}-7A\Gamma}A$. □

3.3. **Existence of Ricci flows on noncompact manifolds.** We will first prove the existence of Ricci flow solutions on manifolds satisfying Assumption 2.4.

Theorem 3.15. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold satisfying Assumption 2.4. Then the Ricci flow with initial metric g has a solution on $M \times [0, T_0]$, where $T_0 > 0$ depends only on $n, \Gamma, A, \bar{K}, I$. Moreover, for any $t \in [0, T_0]$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}g(0) \leq g(t) \leq 2g(0),$$

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |Rm|_{g(t)} \leq 2(1+\Gamma)K_i, \ \ i = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Remark 3.16. In the above theorem, we can choose T_0 smaller, depending on the value of Γ, such that if $\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |Rm|_{g(0)} = K_i$ is achieved for an index i, then we also have

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i \times [0,T_0]} |Rm| \geq \frac{2}{3}K_i.$$

Let's first construct the cut-off functions used in the local Ricci flow.

Lemma 3.17. *Suppose (M, g) satisfies Assumption 2.4, and Ω is a compact domain in M. Then we can construct a smooth function $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, which is compactly supported, and $\chi \equiv 1$ on Ω. Moreover, for each index i we have*

$$|\nabla^k \chi|(x) \leq C(n, I, \Gamma)K_i^{\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad when \quad x \in \hat{B}_i.$$

Proof. We can find a compact domain $\hat{\Omega}$ containing Ω, such that, with possibly reordering the geodesic balls in the cover, we have two integers $N_1 < N_2$, such that

1. $\Omega \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N_1} B_i$, and $\cup_{i=1}^{N_1} \hat{B}_i \subset \hat{\Omega}$;
2. $\hat{\Omega} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N_2} B_i$, and $\partial\hat{\Omega} \subset \cup_{i=N_1+1}^{N_2} \hat{B}_i$;
3. $\Omega \cap \cup_{i=N_1+1}^{N_2} \hat{B}_i = \emptyset$.

For each $\hat{B}_i$ in the cover, let ϕ_i be the cut-off function on $\hat{B}_i$ defined in Lemma 1.5, which equals 1 in B_i. Define

$$\chi = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \phi_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_2} \phi_j} \tag{3.10}$$

on $\hat{\Omega}$, and define it to be 0 outside of $\hat{\Omega}$. Then it is easy to check that χ has the desired properties. □

Now let's prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3.15. Let $\Omega_j, j = 1, 2, ...$, be a compact exhaustion of M, for each Ω_j, let χ_j be the cut-off function constructed in Lemma 3.17. Existence of the local Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g = -2\chi_j^2 Ric, \quad g(0) = g$$

is due to D. Yang [20], see also G. Xu [19] for a rigorous proof. By Proposition 3.9 the solution $g_j(t)$ exists on $[0, T_0]$, where $T_0 > 0$ is independent of j. And $g_j(t)$ are

uniformly equivalent to the initial metric g, with curvature uniformly bounded on any compact subset of M.

On Ω_j, $g_j(t)$ agrees with the Ricci flow. Hence, by passing to subsequences when necessary, $g_j(t)$ converges to a Ricci flow solution $g(t)$ on $M \times [0, T_0]$ in Cheeger-Gromov sense. The equivalence of metrics $g(t), t \in [0, T]$ and the curvature bound are clear from Definition 3.10. □

Moreover, if we take $\epsilon < \frac{1}{9C_1(1+\Gamma)}$ in (3.9) in the proof of Proposition 3.9, then the differential inequality (3.8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|Rm|(x,t) \geq & |Rm|(x,0) - C_1 \int_0^t (K_j|Ric| + \sqrt{K_j}\chi|\nabla Ric| + \chi^2|\nabla^2 Ric|) \\ \geq & |Rm|(x,0) - \frac{1}{3(1+\Gamma)}K_j,\end{aligned}$$

for all $(x,t) \in B_j \times [0, T_0]$. Since $K_j \leq K_i + \Gamma \leq (1+\Gamma)K_i$ whenever $\hat{B}_j \cap \hat{B}_i \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$|Rm|(x,t) \geq |Rm|(x,0) - \frac{1}{3}K_i$$

for all $(x,t) \in \hat{B}_i$. If there is a point $x_0 \in \hat{B}_i$ such that $|Rm|(x_0, 0) = K_i$, then

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i \times [0,T_0]} |Rm| \geq \frac{2}{3}K_i.$$

Hence we have Remark 3.16.

By the construction of the solution in Theorem 3.15 and the proof of Proposition 3.9, we have the following lemma which will be useful later.

Lemma 3.18. *Under a Ricci flow solution constructed by Theorem 3.15, we have*

$$|\nabla^m Ric|^2 \leq \Phi_m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

where

$$\Phi_m(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(x) K_i^m P_i^2 e^{\Lambda K_i t}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2,$$

ϕ_i is a cut-off function on $\hat{B}_i$ which equals 1 in B_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, ...$, and Λ is a positive constant depending on n, A, I, Γ.

Now, as a corollary of Theorem 3.15, we can prove Theorem 0.4. Let's first state a lemma which is a direct consequence of Definition 0.3.

Lemma 3.19. *Let ρ_x be as in Definition 0.3, for any two points $x \neq y$ in a Riemannian manifold (M, g), $B_g(x, \rho_x)$ is not properly contained in $B_g(y, \rho_y)$ and vice versa.*

Proof. Suppose $B_g(x, \rho_x) \subset B_g(y, \rho_y)$ properly, then $\rho_x < \rho_y$ and we have

$$\sup_{B_g(x,\rho_x)} |Rm| \leq \frac{1}{\rho_y^2} < \frac{1}{\rho_x^2},$$

$$\sup_{B_g(x,\rho_x)} |\nabla^m Ric| \leq \frac{n-1}{\rho_y^{2+m}} < \frac{n-1}{\rho_x^{2+m}}, \quad m = 1, 2.$$

However, by definition of ρ_x, we must have a point $x_0 \in \overline{B_g(x, \rho_x)}$, such that either

$$|Rm|(x_0) = \frac{1}{\rho_x^2} \quad or \quad |\nabla Ric|(x_0) = \frac{n-1}{\rho_x^{2+m}} \quad for \quad m = 1 \quad or \quad 2,$$

which leads to a contradiction. □

Proof of Theorem 0.4. We only need to check that the initial manifold in the theorem satisfies Assumption 2.4, then the result follows from Theorem 3.15.

Let Ω be a compact domain on M. Let $L = 17\sqrt{1+\gamma}$, and let $B_g(x_i, r_i/L)$, $i = 1, 2, ...N$, be a maximal set of mutually disjoint geodesic balls with $x_i \in \Omega$ and $r_i = \min\{\frac{\rho_{x_i}}{16}, 1\}$. Such a maximal set must exist since there is no local blow-up of curvature. For convenience we denote $B_i = B_g(x_i, r_i)$ and $\hat{B}_i = B_g(x_i, 16r_i)$.

Claim 1: When $\hat{B}_i \cap \hat{B}_j \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\frac{r_j}{16\sqrt{1+\gamma}} < r_i < 16\sqrt{1+\gamma} r_j.$$

Proof of Claim 1: If $\hat{B}_i \cap \hat{B}_j \neq \emptyset$, assumption (ii) implies $|\rho_{x_i}^2 - \rho_{x_j}^2| \leq \gamma$, hence

$$\rho_{x_j} \geq \frac{\rho_{x_i}}{\sqrt{1+\gamma\rho_{x_i}^2}}.$$

The right-hand side of the above inequality is nondecreasing in ρ_{x_i}, thus when $\rho_{x_i} \geq 1$ we have

$$\rho_{x_j} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma}},$$

hence

$$r_i \leq 1 \leq 16\sqrt{1+\gamma} r_j.$$

On the other hand, if $\rho_{x_i} < 1$, then we have

$$\rho_{x_i} \leq \rho_{x_j}\sqrt{1+\gamma\rho_{x_i}^2} < \rho_{x_j}\sqrt{1+\gamma},$$

hence

$$r_i = \frac{\rho_{x_i}}{16} \leq \sqrt{1+\gamma} r_j.$$

Therefore Claim 1 is proved.

Now we claim that Ω is covered by $B_i = B_g(x_i, r_i)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$. Indeed, for any $y \in \Omega$, denote $r_y = \min\{\frac{\rho_y}{16}, 1\}$, by the maximality, there must be some index i such that $B_g(y, r_y/L) \cap B_g(x_i, r_i/L) \neq \emptyset$. Note that the proof of Claim 1 also yields

$$r_y \leq 16\sqrt{1+\gamma} r_i.$$

Thus

$$d(x_i, y) \leq (r_i + r_y)/L < r_i,$$

and consequently $y \in B_i$. Therefore the claim is proved.

Choose a compact exhaustion of M by $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset ... \subset \Omega_i \subset ...$, and construct a cover of each Ω_i as above, then we can take a subsequential limit to find a cover of M by $B_i = B_g(x_i, r_i)$, $i = 1, 2, ...$ with $B_g(x_i, r_i/L)$ disjoint. Hence we have verified (a).

Let $K_i = \max\{(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2/r_i^2, 1\}$, where $\bar{r}$ is the same constant as in Assumption 2.4, then

$$\sup_{\hat{B}_i} |Rm| \leq K_i.$$

Let y be any point in $\hat{B}_i$. By Lemma 3.19 we have

$$\rho_y < 2\rho_{x_i}.$$

If $\rho_{x_i} < 1$, then $K_i = 256(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2/\rho_{x_i}^2$, and assumption (ii) implies

$$\rho_{x_i} \leq \rho_y \sqrt{1+\gamma\rho_{x_i}^2} < \rho_y\sqrt{1+\gamma}.$$

Hence for $m = 0, 1, 2$, by assumption (i) we have

$$|\nabla^m Ric|(y) \leq \frac{(1+\gamma)^{1+m/2}(n-1)}{\rho_{x_i}^{2+m}} e^{\beta-\alpha\rho_{x_i}^{-2}/4} \leq (n-1)K_i^{1+m/2} e^{\beta-\alpha K_i/1024(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2}.$$

If $\rho_{x_i} \geq 1$, then $K_i \leq 256(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2$, and

$$|\nabla^m Ric|(y) \leq (n-1)K_i^{1+m/2} \leq (n-1)K_i^{1+m/2} e^{\alpha/4-\alpha K_i/1024(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2}.$$

Therefore we can take $A = \frac{\alpha}{1024(1+\gamma)\bar{r}^2}$ and $\bar{K} = \max\{\frac{\beta}{A}, \frac{\alpha}{4A}\}$, then (b) and (c) are verified.

Now take $\Gamma = 256(1+\gamma)\gamma\bar{r}^2$, then (d) directly follows from assumption (ii).

To check (e), we need to compare r_i and r_j when $\hat{B}_i \cap \hat{B}_j \neq \emptyset$, which is provided by Claim 1. The assumption (i) actually implies that $|Ric|$ is bounded uniformly by $(n-1)e^{\beta}\alpha^{-1}e^{-1}$. Hence, by the volume comparison theorem and the disjointness of $B_g(x_i, r_i/3)$, $i = 1, 2, ...$, standard arguments will show that the maximal intersection number I is finite.

□

4. Transfer rate of the Ricci flow

Recall that the heat kernel on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$K(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-|x-y|^2/4t}.$$

If the initial data is compactly supported, the heat solution will decay spatially at the approximate rate of $e^{-|x|^2/4t}$ for positive t. As a Ricci flow analogue, we prove Theorem 0.7, which is a consequence of Theorem 3.15 and the curvature estimates we obtained during its proof.

Proof of Theorem 0.7. We shall verify that under the assumptions of the theorem, we can construct a good cover of the initial manifold that satisfies Assumption 2.4. On Ω_0 the construction is simple since we can cover it by finitely many balls with uniform radius and uniform K_i. Therefore we can assume WLOG that $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$.

For any $x \in M$, let's define

$$K_x = 64\bar{r}^2(1 + d(x, p))^2, \quad r_x = \frac{\bar{r}}{\sqrt{K_x}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{y\in B(x,16r_x)} |Rm| \leq & \sup_{y\in B(x,16r_x)} (1 + d(x, p) + d(x, y))^2 \\ \leq & 2(1 + d(x, p))^2 + 2(16r_x)^2 \\ \leq & 32^{-1}K_x + 2(\frac{16\bar{r}}{\sqrt{K_x}})^2,\end{aligned}$$

using $K_x \geq 64\bar{r}^2$, note that $\bar{r} > 1$, we get

$$\sup_{B(x,16r_x)} |Rm| \leq K_x/32 + K_x/8 < K_x.$$

For a compact domain $\Omega \subset M$, let $\{x_i \in \Omega, i = 1, 2, ..., N\}$ be a maximal set of points in Ω such that $B(x_i, \frac{1}{3}r_{x_i})$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ are disjoint. For convenience, we denote $r_i = r_{x_i}$ and $B_i = B(x, r_i)$.

We claim that $\Omega \subset \cup_{i=1}^N B_i$. For any pair of points x, y with distance $d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{4}$, the triangle inequality implies

$$K_y \leq 64\bar{r}^2(1 + d(x, p) + d(x, y))^2 \leq K_x + 4\bar{r}\sqrt{K_x} + 4\bar{r}^2 \leq 2K_x,$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r_y \leq r_x \leq \sqrt{2}r_y.$$

If there is a point $y \in \Omega$, and $y \notin \cup_{i=1}^N B_i$. Then $d(x_i, y) > r_i$, for all $i = 1, 2, ..., N$. Since $r_x \leq \frac{1}{8}$ for any $x \in M$, we know $B(y, \frac{1}{3}r_y) \cap B(x_i, \frac{1}{3}r_i) = \emptyset$ when $d(y, x_i) > \frac{1}{4}$. When $d(y, x_i) \leq \frac{1}{4}$, the above analysis shows $\frac{1}{3}r_i + \frac{1}{3}r_y \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{3}r_i < r_i \leq d(y, x_i)$, so again we have $B(y, \frac{1}{3}r_y) \cap B(x_i, \frac{1}{3}r_i) = \emptyset$. But this contradicts with the maximality of the set $\{x_i \in \Omega, i = 1, 2, ..., N\}$.

Then by working on a compact exhaustion of M and taking subsequential limits, we can construct a good cover of M, which satisfies (a) and (b) of Assumption 2.4. It is not hard to observe that

$$\bar{r}^2(1 + d(y, p))^2 \leq K_x \leq 2000\bar{r}^2(1 + d(y, p))^2, \quad when\ d(x, y) \leq 16r_x. \tag{4.1}$$

Hence (c) can be verified by taking $A = \frac{\alpha}{2000\bar{r}^2}$. ($\bar{K}$ will depend on Ω_0 eventually, we can take $\bar{K} = 0$ when $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$.)

Now let B_i and B_j be adjacent in the sense that $\hat{B}_i \cap \hat{B}_j \neq \emptyset$, where $\hat{B}_i = B(x_i, 16r_i)$. Then

$$d(x_i, x_j) \leq 16r_i + 16r_j \leq 4,$$

hence x_i and x_j can be connected by a piecewise geodesic with 15 pieces, and each piece has length less than $\frac{1}{4}$, therefore

$$d(x_i, x_j) \leq (2 + \sqrt{2})^{15}r_i.$$

Then the triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} K_j \leq & 64\bar{r}^2(1 + d(x_i, p) + d(x_i, x_j))^2 \\ \leq & K_i + 16\bar{r}\sqrt{K_i}d(x_i, x_j) + 64\bar{r}^2 d(x_i, x_j)^2 \\ \leq & K_i + 16(2 + \sqrt{2})^{15}\bar{r}^2 + 1024\bar{r}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma = (16(2 + \sqrt{2})^{15} + 1024)\bar{r}^2$, then we have verified (d) in Assumption 2.4.

Then using the disjointness of $B(x_i, \frac{1}{3}r_i)$, $i = 1, 2, ...$, (e) is verified by applying the volume comparison theorem.

Therefore, by Theorem 3.15 and Lemma 3.18, there is a solution of the Ricci flow starting with (M, g), satisfying

$$|\nabla^m Ric|^2(x, t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(x) K_i^{2+m} e^{A\bar{K} + \Lambda K_i t - 2AK_i}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2.$$

for $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T_0]$, where $T_0 > 0$ depends on Ω_0, n, α. Hence

$$|\nabla^m Ric|^2(x, t) \leq C(n, \Omega_0, \alpha) K_i^{2+m} e^{-AK_i}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2.$$

when $0 < t \leq \min\{T_0, \frac{A}{\Lambda}\} := T_1$ and $x \in B_i$.

The proof can be finished by using (4.1) and choosing suitable constants C_1 and C_2. □

References

[1] Esther Cabezas-Rivas and Burkhard Wilking. How to produce a Ricci flow via Cheeger-Gromoll exhaustion. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1107.0606*, 2011.

[2] Albert Chau, Ka-Fai Li, and Luen-Fai Tam. Deforming complete Hermitian metrics with unbounded curvature. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1402.6722*, 2014.

[3] C.-W. Chen. Compactness and Shi-type estimate of the Ricci flow based on Ricci curvature. *ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1602.01939*, February 2016.

[4] Bennett Chow, Sun-Chin Chu, David Glickenstein, Christine Guenther, James Isenberg, Tom Ivey, Dan Knopf, Peng Lu, Feng Luo, and Lei Ni. *The Ricci flow: techniques and applications. Part III. Geometric-analytic aspects*, volume 163 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[5] Alix Deruelle. Asymptotic estimates and compactness of expanding gradient Ricci solitons. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1411.2366*, 2014.

[6] Gregor Giesen and Peter M Topping. Ricci flows with unbounded curvature. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, pages 1–12, 2013.

[7] Robert E Greene and H Wu. C^∞ approximations of convex, subharmonic, and plurisubharmonic functions. In *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 12, pages 47–84, 1979.

[8] Richard S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17(2):255–306, 1982.

[9] R. Hochard. Short-time existence of the Ricci flow on complete, non-collapsed 3-manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below. *ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1603.08726*, March 2016.

[10] Brett Kotschwar. An energy approach to the problem of uniqueness for the Ricci flow. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 22(1), 2014.

[11] Brett Kotschwar, Ovidiu Munteanu, and Jiaping Wang. A local curvature estimate for the Ricci flow. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1512.0450*, 2015.

[12] Peng Lu and Gang Tian. Uniqueness of standard solutions in the work of Perelman. *preprint, http://www. math. lsa. umich. edu/lott/ricciflow/perelman. html*, 2005.

[13] Laurent Saloff-Coste. Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom*, 36(2):417–450, 1992.

[14] Wan-Xiong Shi. Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 30(1):223–301, 1989.

[15] Michael Siepmann. *Ricci flows of Ricci flat cones*. PhD thesis, Diss., Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule ETH Zürich, Nr. 21252,, 2013.

[16] Miles Simon. Ricci flow of non-collapsed three manifolds whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2012(662):59–94, 2012.

[17] Luen-Fai Tam. Construction of an exhaustion function on complete manifolds. *Preprint*.

[18] Peter M Topping. Ricci flows with unbounded curvature. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Seoul*, 2014.

[19] Guoyi Xu. Short-time existence of the Ricci flow on noncompact Riemannian manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 365(11):5605–5654, 2013.

[20] Deane Yang. Convergence of Riemannian manifolds with integral bounds on curvature. I. In *Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 25, pages 77–105, 1992.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities
E-mail address: hexxx221@umn.edu